How to write that research paper

you promised you would

... and not loose your hair over it

No absolute truths, but workable recipes

No alternative to practice, practice! Ability to take and learn from criticism

The hardest paper is always the current one

Hannes Baumann, University of Connecticut

Don't stare at an empty page/screen

Break down the process into pieces

But before you start ...

Think about a journal and two alternatives

Communicate early and clearly about co-authorships



Here's my recipe ...

1. Delve into your data, plot them in every which way, then consolidate

2. Material & Methods

3. Results

4. Discussion

5. Introduction

6. Abstract

1. Plot and plot and plot your data

- **Why? Patterns!**
- The story forms, aids description of results
- The same data can be visualized in many different ways — find out which is best



A bit of basic info first. The age-length relations $(R^2 =$ them with a caption in 0.2), which is likely due to the small length ra ages Powerpoint; add your range from 328 to almost 500, which transl chobservations of these distribution between April and September, w back patterns to with literature, whether that is reasonable on chat communicate with coother age-length keys based on oto macrostruc. se et al. authors used in Madigan et al. PNAS 2012, estimate these uths older. but Dan himself believes that these keys may not be perfectly represented for these young ages. But the discrepancy is there.



2. Materials & Methods

The easiest, because most technical part Write it immediately, some do it while still collecting the data!

Short sentences, logical order of methods

2. Materials & Methods

Passive voice? Active voice?

"... a filter was used for the water to be cleaned to allow for the samples not to be contaminated ..."

X

"We filtered the water to avoid contamination ..."

3. Results

- Just describe what you found. Nothing else.
 - Short sentences. Consistent notation.
 - Keep use of parentheses light, otherwise table
 - **Don't discuss your findings**
 - Don't repeat M&M
- Avoid excessive usage of acronyms, unimportant groups

4. Discussion – the pyramid



First, sketch out the paragraphs

A paragraph = the smallest logical unit of a text

~150 - 300 words, ~4 - 10 sentences

[Paragraph 3: effect of food quality on fish growth]

- I first want to say the differences were bigger between treatments than between individuals
- I then want to compare our results to ... this study and ... another study
- Then should come that ...
- Shouldn't I put ... this here already?

[Paragraph 4: method discussion]

- First I want to discuss sampling mortality
- Then ...

5. Introduction – the upside-down pyramid

Context of the study What needs to be better understood?

Topic I introduction

Topic II introduction

Statement of hypothesis/goals

Short summary of approach

Same paragraph rules, strategies as for discussion

6. Abstract

This is what 95% of reader ONLY read, but entice them to read the whole thing

The problem (1-2 sentences)

The approach (1-2 sentences)

The results (4-5 sentences, be specific)

The conclusion and overall importance (1-2 sentences)



"... our findings will be discussed ..."

You have a first draft. Wait.

Shorten, condense it. Saying something with less words is always better ... Justify to yourself the necessity and order of each statement. Send it to co-authors

More concise, what does that mean?

Nouns to verbs!

"..., larvae exhibited a significant increase in growth rate ..." <u>Better:</u>

"Larvae grew significantly faster ...

More concise, what does that mean?

Tautology

"Estuary-dependent fishes reside in estuaries ..."

"...diel-cycling hypoxia, whereby DO varies over a diel cycle ..."

More concise, what does that mean?

"Science-iness", jargon

"Natural and anthropogenic environmental alteration impacts ecosystem structure and functioning, disrupting natural biological and ecological processes at the community and species level." What does that mean?

"..., <u>incredibly</u> low survival ..." Improper evaluation? "... this approach was <u>quite</u> useful" Jargon "... there was an onsl<u>aught</u> of criticism ..." Figure of speech

Where and how to ask for help

1. Your co-authors. Good editing is a serious contribution.

Other colleagues, trusted friends.
Writing workshops in your academic institution / library

4. Professional services by journals

"I just want it to be over now"

1. Meticulous last check for consistency & typos ("... the high morality of fish") 2. Cover letter. Has somebody read it? 3. Journal citation/formatting style 4. Potential reviewers (!!!)

